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Interventions for Anxiety in School-aged Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD): A Systematic Review

BACKGROUND

Autism spectrum disorders (ASD) are a group of neurodevelopmental disorders. Children with ASD typically have difficulty with communication and social interaction, and may be overly dependent on routines, place extreme foci on items, and/or extremely dislike changes to their environment. These symptoms appear on a continuum, with some children experiencing only mild symptoms, while others experience quite severe symptomatology.

The number of children diagnosed with ASD has increased over time. While the current rate of prevalence in the United States (US) is the same as the rate reported in 2012 (1 in 68 children), it is a significant jump from the 1 in 88 children reported in 2008; and the 1 in 150 children reported in 2002 (Autism Speaks, 2016; Centers for Disease control and Prevention, 2016). This growth in prevalence has also been seen in other countries. For example, the Survey of Disability, Ageing and Carers (SDAC) conducted by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) shows that in 2009, about 64,400 people had been diagnosed with ASD, and in 2012, this had increased to 115,400 (ABS, 2016). More recent population-level data has been collected by the ABS (see ABS, 2016), however it is currently not publicly available. Where possible, up-to-date prevalence rates will be included in our review. In addition, to increasing numbers, recent research shows that the number of students with ASD attending mainstream schools is also increasing (Zainal & Magiati, 2016). The exact reason for the increase in prevalence is unclear, but may be related to changes in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM).¹

ASD often co-occurs with other mental illnesses, including Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), learning difficulties, depression and anxiety. Anxiety symptoms have been noted in individuals with ASD since Leo Kanner and Hans Asperger first described this disorder more than 70 years ago. Recent research continues to show that those with ASD exhibit significantly higher rates of anxiety symptoms when compared to (i) typically developing individuals (Bellini, 2006; Gadow, DeVincen, Pomeroi, & Azizian, 2005; Lopata et al., 2010), and (ii) those with other disorders, including Down’s Syndrome (Evans,

¹ The DSM is the diagnostic system used by clinicians and researchers in the US and Australia to diagnose and classify mental disorders, including ASD. It has received many updates since its inception, with the DSM-5 being the current edition. The section for ASD-related diagnoses was changed considerably in this edition, and the revised diagnosis is more accurate and provides a more useful way of diagnosing individuals with autism-related disorders. Under the DSM-5 criteria, individuals with ASD must show symptoms from early childhood, even if those symptoms are not recognized until later. One would expect that this change ought to have decreased the rate of new diagnoses, but this does not appear to have occurred.
Canaver, Klinepeter, Taga, & Maccubbin, 2005), Williams Syndrome (Rodgers, Riby, Janes, Connolly, & McConachie, 2012), and Conduct Disorder (Green, Gilchrist, & Cox, 2000).

Although the reported rate of anxiety for those with ASD varies widely (e.g., from 13% to 84%; van Steensel, Bogels, & Perrin, 2011), the majority of studies suggest that a more realistic estimate is 40 to 50 percent. A systematic review by van Steensel et al. (2011) supported this by reporting that 39.6 percent of the 2,121 individuals included in the reviewed studies met criteria for clinically elevated levels of anxiety.

The majority of studies undertaken in the area of anxiety and ASD have included young children, older adolescents and adults. While fewer studies have been undertaken with school-aged children, those studies that have been conducted suggest a high occurrence of anxiety (Ashburner et al., 2010; Gjevik et al., 2011; Lecavalier et al., 2006).

The prevalence of anxiety among school-aged children is of particular concern considering research showing that anxiety during this period has a negative impact on intellectual functioning and academic achievement, and broadly on a child's overall school-functioning. Schools may present students with ASD particular cognitive, social and behavioural challenges that may be associated with higher levels of anxiety and impaired school-functioning. Anxiety also has long term impacts, and if left untreated, persists into adulthood (US Public Health Service, 2000), and can progress into other disorders, such as depression (Seligman & Ollendick 1998). Moreover, chronic anxiety is correlated with reduced employment opportunities and social networks, and thus is associated with societal and economic burden (Velting et al. 2004; Davis et al. 2008). Research with an ASD population showed that anxiety negatively impacts a child’s ability to participate in home, school and community settings, and affects child and family well-being and quality of life above and beyond the core symptoms of ASD (Davis III, White, & Ollendick, 2014; Pellecchia et al. 2015). Interventions and programs that aim to address anxiety and the challenges that school-aged children with ASD face in educational environments, may improve their overall school-functioning, and later life-outcomes.

Against this background, the need for accurate treatment of anxiety in school-aged children with ASD is evident. Hence, the proposed systematic review aims to examine the effectiveness of interventions for anxiety, and anxiety related school-functioning, for school-aged children with ASD.

Since children spend a significant portion of their day at school, teachers and clinicians working in the education sector have significant responsibility for recognising signs of ASD and anxiety, and in implementing interventions and supports that are evidence-based and tailored to the needs of the child. Further, decision-making regarding treatment should be informed by the latest evidence available. However, the sheer volume of published research, and the different aims, foci and methodology of those studies, makes evidence-based practice extremely difficult for professionals, including for those working in the education sector.
In summary, this review aims to synthesise evidence by employing a framework synthesis approach to accommodate the anticipated diverse types of available studies given that the focus is on interventions that address anxiety and school-related functioning in school settings or family contexts. It is anticipated that these studies may use quasi-experimental or mixed-methods designs, in addition to randomised control trials.

While clinical studies will not be excluded per se, this review seeks to move beyond interventions that are relevant for clinical practice and care in clinical settings, and prioritise studies that draw out implications for school-aged children that will help their functioning in real-world settings such as the school and the home.

Results of the review are intended to inform professionals working in the education sector and parents, but will also be likely inform policy makers in this sector.

**OBJECTIVES**

The proposed review will aim to answer the following questions.

1. What are the interventions for anxiety of school-aged children with ASD that have been used in school, family, and - to a lesser extent - clinical settings?

2. What is the effectiveness of interventions for anxiety of school-aged children with ASD that have been used in school, family, and - to a lesser extent - clinical settings?

3. What are the sources of variability in response to the intervention (i.e. what works for whom and how)?

4. What are the evidence-based practices that professionals working in schools and parents can employ to mitigate anxiety-related symptoms in school-aged children with ASD?

**EXISTING REVIEWS**

A number of narrative and systematic reviews on various aspects of anxiety in ASD have been published in the last ten years. These reviews have covered phenomenology and prevalence of anxiety (e.g. White et al., 2009; MacNail, Lopez & Minnes, 2009; VanSteensel et al., 2010), assessment (Wigham & McConachie, 2014; Lecavalier et al., 2014) and treatment (Johnco & Starch, 2015; Kreslins, Robertson, & Melvile, 2015; Ung, Selles, Small, & Storch, 2015; Vasa et al., 2014; Sukhodolsky, Bloch, Panza, & Reichow, 2013).

However, none of the reviews published thus far have: (i) focused specifically on school-aged children with ASD; (ii) covered the range of available treatments but instead focussed only on specific treatments, such as, for example, Cognitive Behavior Therapy or psychosocial treatments; (iii) explored mediators and moderators of treatment outcomes; (iv) provided
practical guidance for education professionals and parents to enable increased use of evidence-based treatments in their everyday practice.

INTERVENTION

Rotheram-Borus et al. (2012) proposed that all existing interventions for anxiety incorporate one or more of the following seven elements: (i) psychoeducation, (ii) exposure, (iii) cognitive restructuring, (iv) parent training or parent psychoeducation, (v) relaxation, (vi) modelling, and (vii) self-monitoring.

This review will include all treatments in schools, families or in clinical settings that encompass at least one of these elements. Where studies occur in a clinical setting, priority will be given to studies that seek to draw out implications for real world settings such as the family and school. As pharmacological agents are not generally prescribed in school settings, studies investigating the efficacy of pharmacological agents for treating anxiety will not be included. All forms of assessing children are eligible for inclusion in the review, including diagnostic interviews, ratings scales - irrespective of the informant (e.g. student, parent, teacher), behavioural and physiological monitoring.

Examples of included studies


A family-based, cognitive behavioural treatment for anxiety in 47 children with comorbid anxiety disorders and High Functioning Autism Spectrum Disorder (HFA) was evaluated. Treatment involved 12 weekly group sessions and was compared with a waiting list condition. Changes between pre- and post-treatment were examined using clinical interviews as well as child-, parent- and teacher-report measures. Following treatment, 71.4% of the treated participants no longer fulfilled diagnostic criteria for an anxiety disorder. Comparisons between the two conditions indicated significant reductions in anxiety symptoms as measured by self-report, parent report and teacher report. Discussion focuses on the implications for the use of cognitive behaviour therapy with HFA children, for theory of mind research and for further research on the treatment components.


Background: Children with autism spectrum disorders often present with comorbid anxiety disorders that cause significant functional impairment. This study tested a modular cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) program for children with this profile. A standard CBT program was augmented with multiple treatment components designed to accommodate or remediate
The social and adaptive skill deficits of children with ASD that could pose barriers to anxiety reduction. Method: Forty children (7-11 years old) were randomly assigned to 16 sessions of CBT or a 3-month waitlist (36 completed treatment or waitlist). Therapists worked with individual families. The CBT model emphasized behavioral experimentation, parent-training, and school consultation. Independent evaluators blind to treatment condition conducted structured diagnostic interviews and parents and children completed anxiety symptom checklists at baseline and post-treatment/post-waitlist. Results: In intent-to-treat analyses, 78.5% of the CBT group met Clinical Global Impressions-Improvement scale criteria for positive treatment response at post-treatment, as compared to only 8.7% of the waitlist group. CBT also outperformed the waitlist on diagnostic outcomes and parent reports of child anxiety, but not children's self-reports. Treatment gains were maintained at 3-month follow-up. Conclusions: The CBT manual employed in this study is one of the first adaptations of an evidence-based treatment for children with autism spectrum disorders. Remission of anxiety disorders appears to be an achievable goal among high-functioning children with autism.

Example of excluded study - As it is a pharmacological treatment


Although selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors have been used to treat symptoms of aggression and anxiety in children and adolescents with pervasive developmental disorders (PDDs), there are no published reports of the use of citalopram in this population. The purpose of this study was to examine the benefits and adverse effects of citalopram in a group of children and adolescents with PDDs. Target behaviors included aggression, anxiety, stereotypies, and preoccupations. Seventeen patients with PDDs (14 with autistic disorder, three with Asperger's disorder) (mean age = 9.4 +/- 2.9 years; range 4-15 years) were treated with citalopram for at least 2 months (mean duration of treatment = 7.4 +/- 5.3 months; range 1-15 months). Treatment was initiated at a low dose (5 mg daily) and was increased by 5 mg weekly as tolerated and as necessary. The mean final dose was 19.7 +/- 7.8 mg (range 5-40 mg). Outcome was based on a consensus between clinician and parents, using the Improvement item of the Clinical Global Impressions Scale as a guide. Ten (59%) children were judged to be much improved or very much improved regarding target behaviors. Core symptoms of PDDs (social interactions, communication) did not show clinically significant improvement. Citalopram was generally well tolerated, although four patients developed treatment-limiting adverse effects: two with increased agitation, one with insomnia, and one with possible tics. The results of this case series suggest that citalopram has beneficial effects on some interfering behaviors associated with PDDs with few adverse effects. Controlled trials are warranted.
**POPULATION**

The target population for the review is school-aged children diagnosed with an ASD (inclusive of autism, ASD, Autistic Disorder, Asperger's Disorder, Asperger Syndrome, atypical autism, or PDD-NOS), and anxiety symptoms or a diagnosis of an anxiety disorder. No restrictions will be imposed in terms of background variables such as socio-economic status, or profiles of children with ASD - for example with respect to characteristics such as IQ or ASD severity/classification. However, where possible, the mediating or moderating influence of these variables on the treatment outcome(s) will be explored.

The focus is on school-aged children rather than earlier intervention since diagnosis of children with ASD who function at a relatively higher level often does not occur until primary school (Fombonne, 2003). To be included in the review, either all subjects in a study have to be of school age or a majority of subjects have to be of school age.

**OUTCOMES**

**Primary outcomes**

Studies in the review will have anxiety as their main outcome.

**Secondary outcomes**

Secondary outcomes to be considered in the review will be a wide variety of school-functioning related outcomes that often relate to anxiety, social or emotional skills in the school or family setting, school engagement, learning outcomes or academic achievement.

**STUDY DESIGNS**

Study designs to be included can be experimental (i.e. random allocation of subjects to treatment and control groups) as well as quasi-experimental designs. Consideration will be given to the application of different sets of criteria used to assess the quality of the study design and resulting evidence (e.g., Chambless and Hollon, 1998; Joanna Briggs Institute 2014). The set ultimately chosen will depend on the body of primary research studies included in the review.

While single subject studies often lack generalisability and tend not to use standardised assessments and outcome measures making comparability to other studies difficult, examples of this work are important (e.g., Beretvas & Chung, 2008; Ganz, Earles-Vollrath, Heath, Parker, Rispoli, & Duran, 2012; Hedges, Pustejovsky & Shadish, 2012; Shadish, Hedges & Pustejovsky, 2014). Such designs are often published in psychology and in educational research and therefore, single subject studies may be considered in the review, but will likely be included in a separate section of the report.
Examples of included studies


Autistic Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is characterised by difficulties with social interactions, communication and rigid I stereotyped behaviours, with a prevalence of around 1 % within the population. Research has shown that children with ASD also have heightened feelings of anxiety compared to typically developing peers, particularity with social anxiety. Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT) has empirical evidence that demonstrates its efficacy in supporting children with ASD to manage their anxiety. However, these studies have only shown improvements in the children's anxiety using standardised questionnaires. As such, it is difficult to infer whether the gains made using CBT are long-term, or whether it leads to a qualitative improvement in children's interactions with their community. Typically, CBT is typically delivered by Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services, which can be inaccessible to some children and their families. This study employed a mixed methods approach to understand the effectiveness of a six week, group administered, secondary school-based CBT programme. 28 children took part in the research, with 14 in the treatment-as-usual group and 14 in the experimental group. All children completed the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence, the Social Responsiveness Scale, Spence Children's Anxiety Scale - parent and child versions (SCAS-P/C), the Coping Scale for Children and Youth (CSCY). Qualitative data was also collected through parent and child interviews using a semi-structured technique. Post-intervention data consisted of the SCAS-P/C and the CSCY and further parent and child interviews. Follow-up measures were taken six to eight weeks after post-intervention using the SCAS-Parent and child versions and the CSCY. Results suggest children who took part in the intervention had reduced levels of anxiety compared to the TaU group, both at post-intervention and follow-up. However, these improvements were not at a clinically significant level. Interview data, analysed using Thematic Analysis, provided unique insight into the process of cognitive change, the nature of anxiety in children with ASD and highlighted potential barriers to change for these children. Furthermore, the parents identified a lack of post diagnostic support and the view of their child's constantly changing profile of needs. The results are related to their implications for the professional educational psychologist, who is considered to be well placed to respond to the identified needs of this group and to implement CBT programmes in schools. Methodological issues and weaknesses are discussed as well as implications for further study.

Background: Children with high-functioning autism spectrum disorders (ASD) are at high risk for developing significant anxiety. Anxiety can adversely impact functioning across school, home and community environments. Cognitive behavioral therapies (CBT) are frequently used with success for children with anxiety symptoms. Modified CBT interventions for anxiety in children with ASD have also yielded promising results. Methods: Fifty children with high-functioning ASD and anxiety were randomized to group CBT or treatment-as-usual (TAU) for 12 weeks. Independent clinical evaluators, blind to condition, completed structured interviews (Anxiety Disorders Interview Schedule-Parent Version; ADIS-P) pre- and post-intervention condition. Results: Forty-seven children completed either the CBT or TAU condition. Results indicated markedly better outcomes for the CBT group. Significant differences by group were noted in Clinician Severity Ratings, diagnostic status, and clinician ratings of global improvement. In the intent-to-treat sample, 10 of 20 children (50%) in the CBT group had a clinically meaningful positive treatment response, compared to 2 of 23 children (8.7%) in the TAU group. Conclusions: Initial results from this randomized, designed treatment study suggest that a group CBT intervention specifically developed for children with ASD may be effective in decreasing anxiety. Limitations of this study include small sample size, lack of an attention control group, and use of outcome measures normed with typically developing children.


Background: The aim of the study was to evaluate the effectiveness of a brief CBT intervention for anxiety with children diagnosed with Asperger syndrome (AS). A second interest was to evaluate whether more intensive parent involvement would increase the child’s ability to manage anxiety outside of the clinic setting. Methods: Seventy-one children aged ten to twelve years were recruited to participate in the anxiety programme. All children were diagnosed with AS and the presence of anxiety symptoms was accepted on parent report via brief interview. Children were randomly assigned to one of three conditions: intervention for child only, intervention for child and parent, wait-list control. Results: The two intervention groups demonstrated significant decreases in parent-reported anxiety symptoms at follow-up and a significant increase in the child’s ability to generate positive strategies in an anxiety-provoking situation. There were a number of significant differences between the two interventions to suggest parent involvement as beneficial. Conclusions: The sample of children with AS in this study presented with a profile of anxiety similar to a sample of clinically diagnosed anxious children. The intervention was endorsed by parents as a useful programme for children diagnosed with Asperger syndrome and exhibiting anxiety symptoms, and active parent involvement enhanced the usefulness of the programme. Limitations of the study and future research are discussed.

The following study could be included although only if it were possible to separate the data for school-aged children as some of the subjects are in pre-school. However, it illustrates the
type of school-family collaborative treatments that will be considered for inclusion in the proposed review:


The effects of a teacher consultation intervention were examined—namely, the collaborative model for promoting competence and success (COMPASS), which was designed to improve objectives of individualized education programs for children with autism. The intervention consists of an initial parent—teacher consultation, followed by four teacher consultations across the school year. Thirty-five teachers and a randomly selected child with autism (M age = 6.1 years) from each classroom participated. Compared to the non-intervention teacher—child dyads, the intervention teacher—child dyads showed improvements in individualized education program objectives, with a large effect size (d = 1.51).

Examples of potential studies - single-case study methodology


Although function-based interventions have been shown to be effective, the methods utilised to carry out functional behaviour assessments (FBA) have practical limitations. This study explored the relative utility and feasibility of three FBA methods in a school setting to inform a function-based intervention to reduce problem behaviour in a boy with autism. The study consisted of: (1) indirect and direct assessments, (2) a modified functional analysis, and (3) the intervention. New video technology, Behavior Capture, was trialled to facilitate data collection in the classroom. All methods contributed to identifying the function of the problematic behaviour, though only the functional analysis provided conclusive results. A peer-mediated intervention based on these findings conducted in the school playground reduced the problem behaviours. All FBA methods could be applied in the school setting and provided useful information. Novel technology was helpful in facilitating data collection. A naturalistic intervention was successful in reducing problem behaviours and increasing play skills.

This case study examined the effectiveness of social story interventions for an eight-year-old Chinese boy diagnosed with mild Asperger's disorder in an international school in Hong Kong. A personalised approach based on Carol Gray's (1994) Social Story Handbook was utilised. Social stories focus on teaching children with ASD the social cues and behaviours they need to know to interact with others in a socially appropriate manner. Specifically the following behaviours were targeted: calling out to the teacher, laughing inappropriately, repeating what the teacher said and frequent visits to the toilet. Pre-intervention observations were made over a one week period followed by a two-week intervention which concluded with post-intervention observations over a one-week period. After this brief intervention promising results were obtained particularly in calling out and inappropriate laughter. The learning support teacher who initiated this intervention has been successful in transferring these skills to the classroom teacher who continues to use the social stories on a daily basis.
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