



## **Request for proposals: support to the production of systematic reviews**

### **Overview**

The Campbell Collaboration promotes positive social change through the production and use of systematic reviews for evidence-based policy and practice. With the support of the [American Institutes for Research](#), the Campbell Collaboration is pleased to announce up to eight awards to undertake new systematic reviews.

The Campbell Collaboration requests proposals for new systematic reviews by March 29<sup>th</sup> 2016. This request for proposals explains what the awards are for and how to apply.

### **The awards**

The awards are toward the production of new systematic reviews within one or more of the subject areas of the Campbell Collaboration, namely:

- Crime and Justice
- Education
- International Development
- Social Welfare
- Knowledge translation and implementation
- Methods reviews

Review teams which receive an award are required to register the review in the Campbell Library. The review may be co-registered with the Cochrane Collaboration, but Campbell should be the lead group.

The steps to be followed in conducting a Campbell review are available at [http://www.campbellcollaboration.org/resources/research/Write\\_a\\_systematic\\_review.php](http://www.campbellcollaboration.org/resources/research/Write_a_systematic_review.php). Links to Campbell policies and procedures are to be found on that page. The Title Registration Form for the application is also to be found on that page.

### *Budget*

The maximum award is US\$40,000. Applicants need not claim the maximum.

### **Eligibility criteria**

The awards are for new reviews not currently in progress. Updates are not eligible.

Only legally registered institutions may apply for the awards, not individuals. There is no geographical restriction.

## **Review criteria**

The proposals will first be screened for eligibility and completeness of the application.

Proposals which pass the screening shall be reviewed against the following criteria:

- The technical quality of the proposal. (40%)
- Contribution to filling an important evidence gap. Assessment of this criterion is based on the section in the Title Registration Form entitled 'Background' and the section of the application form entitled 'Evidence gap'. (20%)
- Qualifications of team members: the experience of the PI and (if different) the team member responsible for the largest time input. (30%).
- Value for money (10%).

## **Review process**

Screening will be conducted by the Campbell Secretariat.

Proposals passing screening will be independently scored by four reviewers. After scoring, these four reviewers will meet as a review panel to make a final decision on the awards.

Campbell reserves the right not to make the advertised number of awards if there are insufficient proposals of sufficient quality.

## **Application process**

Applications should comprise:

- The completed [application form](#)
- The completed [Title Registration Form](#)
- CVs of all team members (CVs of RAs, if any, are not required)
- Budget using the [template available](#)

Applications and supporting material must be in English (except the titles of non-English language publications in CVs).

Applications should be submitted by email to [info@campbellcollaboration.org](mailto:info@campbellcollaboration.org) with the subject Campbell Systematic Review Awards by 11.59 pm EST on Tuesday March 29<sup>th</sup>.

Applications not following the above process will not be accepted.