

CONSORT and Criminal Justice Trials (CJT) project

CONSORT and Criminal Justice Trials (CJT) project

Reviewer coding sheet-Part one

February 4th 2008

Version 15.

Background to the coding sheet

The CONSORT Statement was originally published in 1996 to address some of the concerns about the amount of information reported in healthcare trials. It is now an established instrument which has been adopted internationally by numerous journal editors and societal bodies (see <http://www.consort-statement.org/>).

This coding sheet was devised in order to apply the CONSORT Statement to a range of crime and justice trials and represents the first adaptation of the instrument for criminal justice. For more information on the methodology of this adaptation and use within criminal justice see the references documented beneath.

References

Perry, A.E. & Johnson, M. (2008). Applying the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) to studies of mental health provision for juvenile offenders: a research note. *Journal of Experimental Criminology*, 4, 165-185.

Perry, A.E., Weisburd, D. & Hewitt, C. (in press 2010). Are criminologists describing randomised controlled trials in ways that allow us to assess them? Findings from a sample of crime and justice trials. *Journal of Experimental Criminology*.

For further information about this coding sheet please contact:

Dr. Amanda E Perry

Senior Research Fellow

Centre for Criminal Justice Economics and Psychology Wentworth College

University of York

Heslington

York

YO10 5DD

UK

[Email: aep4@york.ac.uk](mailto:aep4@york.ac.uk) Telephone: +44 (0) 1904 434880

CONSORT and Criminal Justice Trials (CJT) project

Reviewer initials:

Date of coding:

First author of paper:

Year of publication

Journal of publication

Document ID number:

ORIGINAL CONSORT QUESTION(S)	Reviewer Agreement (yes/No)	PAPER STRUCTURE – INDIVIDUAL AND MULTIPLE PAPERS	Reported (reviewer please indicate)	Notes from reviewers (page number)
NEW QUESTION (NOT ORIGINALLY IN CONSORT)		A. Does the paper refer the reader to another main paper?	YES (score 1) NO (score 0)	
NEW QUESTION (NOT ORIGINALLY IN CONSORT)		B. Is the paper representing a set of studies?	YES (score 1) NO (score 0)	

ORIGINAL CONSORT QUESTION(S)	Reviewer Agreement (yes/No)	TITLE & ABSTRACT: GUIDANCE NOTES FROM CONSORT	Reported (reviewer please indicate)	Notes from reviewers
Q. 1 <i>How participants were allocated to intervention</i>		1. Title/keyword descriptions <i>The title or abstract clearly state that the study used random assignment or allocation to conditions.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes uses the use of random allocation in the title and the abstract (or summary if presented in a report). A partial score uses the term in either the title, abstract or summary but not both. A score of no does not provide random allocation information in either the title or the abstract.
Q2 <i>Scientific background and explanation of the rationale</i>		2a. Background <i>The authors report on the background to the study</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes provides background to the study with research evidence. A partial score provides some background but no research evidence. A score of no does not provide any background to the study.
(2 PART QUESTION)		2b. <i>The authors present clear reasons for conducting the study</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes provides a clear justification using research evidence to justify the reasons for conducting the study. A partial score provides some reasons but no justification. A score of no does not provides reasons for conducting the study.
Q3a <i>Eligibility criteria for participants</i>		3a. Eligibility criteria <i>The authors provide information about how the eligibility of the participants</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes provides a clear explicit statement about the eligibility of the participants. A partial score gives some evidence of eligibility but it is not explicitly stated. A score of no does not give information about the eligibility of the participants.
(2 PART QUESTION)		3b. <i>The authors describe the participant selection</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes provides a clear explicit statement about the participant selection procedure. A partial score gives some evidence of participant selection but it is not explicitly stated. A score of no does not provide details implicitly or explicitly regarding participant selection.
Q3b <i>The setting and locations where data were collected</i>		4a. Settings where data collected <i>The authors state the settings of the study e.g., The authors should report the number and type of settings for example community, probation, jail or prison.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes provides a clear explicit statement about the settings of the study. A partial score gives some evidence of setting but it is not explicitly stated. A score of no does not provide information regarding settings of the study.

CONSORT and Criminal Justice Trials (CJT) project

Reviewer coding sheet

ORIGINAL CONSORT QUESTION(S)	Reviewer Agreement (yes/No)	TITLE & ABSTRACT: GUIDANCE NOTES FROM CONSORT	Reported (reviewer please indicate)	Notes from reviewers
Q3b (see also 4a above) The setting and locations where data were collected		4b. <i>The authors state the study location</i> <i>e.g., 'volunteers were recruited in London in the Borough of Brixton'.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes provides a clear explicit statement about the study location. A partial score gives some evidence of study location but it is not explicitly stated. A score of no does not provide any evidence of study location.
NEW QUESTION (NOT ORIGINALLY IN CONSORT)		4c. <i>The authors describe the conditions of the data collection</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes provides a clear description of the data collection (i.e., where, when & how). A partial score gives some evidence of the conditions of data collection but not all the evidence listed above. A score of no does not provide any description of the conditions of data collection.
Q4 Precise details of the interventions included in each group and how and when they were actually administered		5a. Details of interventions for each group <i>The authors clearly state the treatment received for the intervention groups</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes provides a clear description of the treatment received (i.e., what and how much) A partial score gives some evidence of the details of intervention but not all the evidence listed above. A score of no does not give any evidence of the treatment received by the intervention group.
(6 PART QUESTION)		5b. <i>The authors clearly state the treatment received for the control groups</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5) NOT APPLICABLE	Note: A score of yes provides a clear description of the treatment received (i.e., what and how much) A partial score gives some evidence of control group treatment but not all the evidence listed above. A rating of not applicable is given if the control group do not receive anything e.g., waiting list control. A score of no does not provide any evidence of the treatment received by the control groups.
		6a. <i>The authors state the format, (i.e. group or individual sessions) duration (length of treatment) and staffing of the intervention (i.e. level and qualification) of the intervention.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes requires all three (i.e., format, duration and staffing). A partial score is reported for 2 or less listed above. A score of no is reported if none of the information listed above is reported.
		6b. <i>The authors state the format, (i.e. group or individual sessions) duration (length of treatment) and staffing of the control (i.e. level and qualification) of the control.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5) NOT APPLICABLE	Note: A score of yes requires all three responses. A partial score is reported for 2 or less. Not applicable is rated when the control group receive nothing, for example, are placed on a waiting list. A score of no is reported if none of the information listed is reported.
		7a. <i>The authors clearly state the intensity of the intervention</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5) NOT APPLICABLE	Note: A score of yes provides a clear description of the intensity of the treatment. A partial score may provide or suggest some evidence of the intensity of the intervention but it is not explicitly stated. A rating of not applicable is given if the intervention is a one off action e.g., arrest. A score of no is given if no description of the intensity of the intervention is given.
		7b. <i>The authors clearly state the intensity of the control</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5) NOT APPLICABLE	Note: A score of yes provides a clear description of the intensity of the treatment. A partial score may provide or suggest some evidence of control intensity but it is not explicitly stated. Not applicable is rated when the control group receives nothing e.g. being placed on a waiting list control. A score of no is given if no description of the intensity of the control is given

CONSORT and Criminal Justice Trials (CJT) project

Reviewer coding sheet

ORIGINAL CONSORT QUESTION/(S)	Reviewer Agreement (yes/No)	OUTCOMES continued: GUIDANCE NOTES FROM CONSORT	Reported (reviewer please indicate)	Notes from reviewers
Q5. Specific objectives and hypotheses		8. Aims <i>The authors clearly report on the aims or objectives of the study</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes provides a clear description of the aims and objectives of the study (i.e., the study had one main aim...). A partial score may provide or suggest some evidence but the aims/objectives are not explicitly stated. A score of no is given if the aims of the study are not provided.
		9. Hypotheses <i>The authors clearly report on the hypotheses for the study</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes provides an explicit hypothesis statement. A partial score may provide or suggest some evidence but the hypothesis is not explicitly stated. A score of no is given if the hypotheses are not provided.
Q6A Clearly define the primary and secondary outcome measures		10. Primary outcome measures <i>The authors report on the primary outcome measure and how treatment impact was measured.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes provides an explicit primary outcome measure. A partial score may provide or suggest some evidence but the outcome measure is not explicitly stated. A score of no is given if the primary outcome measure is not reported
		11 Secondary outcome measures <i>The authors conducted subgroup analysis</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes provides an explicit explanation of the subgroup analyses. A partial score may provide or suggest some evidence but the subgroup analyses are not explicitly stated. A score of no provides no indication of the secondary outcome measure.
Q6B When applicable any methods to enhance the quality of measurement (e.g., multiple training)		12. Methods to enhance quality of measurements <i>The authors reported on measures of quality such as double scoring of questionnaires, inter-rater reliability of data collection/data entry procedures, training requirements, protocol adherence, coded audio/visual tapes, supervision and feedback of staff.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes addresses quality controls for both the delivery of the intervention and the data collection. A partial score gives information in only one of these two areas. A score of no does not address any method of quality of measurement.
NEW QUESTION (NOT ORIGINALLY IN CONSORT)		13. Number of participants randomized (Total n=) <i>Readers need to know the total number of participants in the trial.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes provides an explicit states the number of participants randomised to each group. A partial score may provide or suggest some information but the number is not clearly presented. A score of no does not provide information regarding the number of participants randomised for each group.

CONSORT and Criminal Justice Trials (CJT) project

Reviewer coding sheet

ORIGINAL CONSORT QUESTION(S)	Reviewer Agreement (yes/No)	OUTCOMES continued: GUIDANCE NOTES FROM CONSORT	Reported (reviewer please indicate)	Notes from reviewers
Q7A How sample size was determined		14. How sample size determined <i>The authors provided clear information on how the sample size was determined.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes provides an explicit statement about the justification of the sample size (i.e., % power, estimated effect). A partial score may provide or suggest some information but the justification is not provided in full or a post hoc reflection of the sample size. A score of no is given if no information is given regarding how the sample size was determined.
Q7B When applicable explanation of any interim analyses and stopping rules		15. Explanation of any interim analyses <i>The authors clearly stated whether they planned to collect interim results and under what circumstances they would have stopped the trial.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes provides an explicit statement about the interim results and circumstances for stopping the trial. A partial score may provide or suggest some information for one or other piece of evidence but not both. A score of no is given if no information regarding either the planning of interim results or circumstances for stopping the trial is given.
Q8A Methods used to generate random allocation sequence		16. Methods used to generate random allocation sequence <i>The authors clearly state the method of random allocation e.g., computer generated sequences, random numbers table, coin toss.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: A score of yes provides an explicit statement about the method of random allocation. A partial score may provide or suggest some information but the justification is not provided in full. A score of no is given if the information regarding the method of random allocation is given.
Q8B Details of any restrictions [of randomisation]		17. Details of any restrictions <i>(E.g. blocking, ratios and explanation of why restrictions employed)</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5) NOT APPLICABLE	Note: A score of yes provides an explicit statement about the blocking (the size of blocking) and why the restrictions were employed. If stratification was used then the covariates should be listed. A partial score may provide or suggest some information but the justification and methodology is not provided in full. Not applicable is given if no restrictions are required e.g., for simple randomisation. A score of no is given if the details of any restrictions are not given.
Q9 Methods used to implement the random allocation sequence		1 8a. Methods used to implement the random allocation sequence <i>The authors clearly stated how the sequence was accessed</i> <i>e.g., use of the telephone or reading numbers off a list</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5) NOTE THE METHOD USED	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about sequence access. A partial score may provide some partial information of random allocation method. A score of no is given if no information about sequence access is given.

CONSORT and Criminal Justice Trials (CJT) project

Reviewer coding sheet

ORIGINAL CONSORT QUESTION(S)	Reviewer Agreement (yes/No)	OUTCOMES continued: GUIDANCE NOTES FROM CONSORT	Reported (reviewer please indicate)	Notes from reviewers
Q1 0 Who generated the allocation sequence, who enrolled participants and who assigned participants to groups		<i>19. The authors clearly stated the person who generated the allocation sequence.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about concealment before participant assignment. A partial score may provide some partial information of who generated the random allocation sequence. A score of no is given if about the person who generated the allocation sequence.
(3 PART QUESTION)		<i>20. The authors clearly stated who enrolled the participants</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about who enrolled the participants. A partial score may provide some partial information of who enrolled participants but it is not explicitly stated. A score of no is given if information regarding who enrolled the participants is not given.
		<i>21. The authors clearly state who assigned the participants to the groups</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about who assigned the participants to the groups. A partial score may provide some partial information of who assigned the participants to the groups but it is not explicitly stated. A score of no is given if information regarding who assigned the participants to the groups is not given.
Q1 1 a Whether or not participants, those administering the intervention and those assessing the outcomes were blinded to group assignment		22. Details of any blinding <i>The authors clearly reported if treatment and or assessment staff were blinded to group assignment</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about staff assessment and treatment. A partial score may provide some partial information and may blind on only one of the two elements. A score of no is given if no information is given regarding the blinding of either the treatment of assessment staff.
Q1 1 b If done, how the success of blinding was evaluated		<i>23. The authors clearly stated the details on the success of blinding.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5) NOT APPLICABLE	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about the success of blinding. A partial score may provide some partial or implicit information regarding the success of blinding. A rating of not applicable is given when blinding has not been conducted. A score of no is given if information regarding the success of the blinding is not given.

CONSORT and Criminal Justice Trials (CJT) project

Reviewer coding sheet

ORIGINAL CONSORT QUESTION(S)	Reviewer Agreement (yes/No)	OUTCOMES continued: GUIDANCE NOTES FROM CONSORT	Reported (reviewer please indicate)	Notes from reviewers
Q12A Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary outcomes		24. For primary outcomes <i>The authors clearly stated the pre-determined data analysis plan [linked to q. 10].</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5) NOT APPLICABLE	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about the pre-determined data plan with information. A partial score may provide some partial information about the plan but without any details. A rating of not applicable is given when there is not previously stated primary outcome. A score of no is given if no information is given regarding the pre-determined data analysis plan.
Q1 2B Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses or adjusted analyses		25. For any other outcomes <i>The authors clearly report on methods for the analyses of other outcomes.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about methods of analyses. A partial score may provide some partial information but the method is not stated. A score of no is given if no information is given regarding the methods of analyses of other outcomes.
Q1 3A Flow of participants through each stage (a diagram is strongly recommended)		26. Through each stage <i>The authors clearly show the participants in the trial through use of the CONSORT format diagram</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provide an explicit diagram with all participants in the trial. A partial score may provide some partial information about participants but not for all groups or stages in the diagram format. A score of no is given if no information showing the participants throughout the trial is given.
NEW QUESTION (NOT ORIGINALLY IN CONSORT)		27. Details of numbers assigned <i>The authors should indicate clearly how many people were allocated to each arm of the trial. This should include the number enrolled, allocated, follow-up and the analysis for each group.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about the participants in each arm of the trial. A partial score may provides information in one or more arms of the trial but not all. A score of no is given if no information is given regarding how many participants were allocated to any of the arms of the trial.
Q1 3B Describe protocol deviations from study as planned, together with reasons.		29. Any protocol deviations <i>The authors clearly state if any participants were excluded from the study after assignment.</i> <i>Note exclusion could be due to ill health, lack of eligibility, refusal to participate or sentence/offence reasons such as transfer to another prison.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about participant exclusion with reasons. A partial score may provide some partial information about exclusion but not reasons. A score of no is given if information regarding participant exclusion is not given.
Q1 4 Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow up		30. Period of recruitment <i>The authors clearly state the period of recruitment.</i> <i>e.g., participants were recruited between Jan 1st 1997 and Sept 8th 2001.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about recruitment. A partial score may provide some partial information (e.g., were recruited over an 18 month period). A score of no is given if information regarding the period of recruitment is not given.
		31. Period of follow up <i>The authors clearly stated the fixed follow-up period for all groups in the trial</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about the period of follow-up for all groups in the trial. A partial score may provide some information but not for all groups or reports an average follow up period. A score of no is given if information regarding the follow-up period for the trial is not given.
Q1 5 Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of each group		32. Demographic information <i>The authors clearly state the demographic details of both groups including age, ethnicity and gender</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about participant details for both groups. A partial score may provide some information but it is either not complete or is not reported for all groups. A score of no is given if the demographic information of the groups is no given.

CONSORT and Criminal Justice Trials (CJT) project

Reviewer coding sheet

ORIGINAL CONSORT QUESTION(S)	Reviewer Agreement (yes/No)	<i>OUTCOMES continued: GUIDANCE NOTES FROM CONSORT</i>	Reported (reviewer please indicate)	Notes from reviewers
(2 PART QUESTION)		33. The authors clearly report on the background characteristics of the groups. (This includes criminal history/targeted criminogenic needs/specifically targeted offence types, family history).	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about participant details for both groups. A partial score may provide some information but it is either not complete or is not reported for all groups. A score of no is given if the background characteristics of the groups are not provided.
Q16 Number of participants (denominator) in each group included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by "intention to treat" stated the results in absolute number when feasible		34. Number in each group included in analyses <i>The authors clearly report on the final numbers used in the analyses for all groups</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about final numbers in both groups. A partial score may provide some information but it is either not complete or is not reported for all groups. A score of no is given if information regarding the number of participants in each analysis is not provided.
NEW QUESTION (NOT ORIGINALLY IN CONSORT)		35a. Do the authors clearly report attrition in both groups?	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about differential attrition with % given. A partial score may provide some information but the reviewer has to work out the %. A score of no is given if the information regarding attrition in each group is not reported.
NEW QUESTION (NOT ORIGINALLY IN CONSORT)		35b. if yes to 35a was this attrition more than 10% between the groups?	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5) NOT APPLICABLE	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about differential attrition with % given. A partial score may provide some information but the reviewer has to work out the %. A rating of not applicable is given when the answer to 35a is no or partial. A score of no is given if no indication of the percentage of attrition is provided.
(2 PART QUESTION)		36. Whether analyses were 'intention-to-treat' or 'per-protocol' <i>The authors clearly stated whether the trial analyses outcomes were analysed using an intention to treat or per protocol approach</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about trial analyses with evidence of missing data methods if appropriate. A partial score may provide some partial information but does not present missing data methods if appropriate. A score of no is given if information regarding trial analysis is not provided.
Q17 For each primary and secondary outcome a summary of results for each group and the estimated effect and its precision (e.g., its precision e.g., 95% CI).		37. Summary of results <i>The authors clearly present all results for primary and secondary outcome measures. Exact P values should be stated for all significant and non significant results.</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note to score yes exact p values for all significant and non-significant results should be reported. For a partial score some exact p values are reported but not for all. A score of no is given if no exact p values are stated.
		38a. Effect size <i>Were the effect sizes clearly stated by the authors</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: to score yes effect sizes and justification for the effect size must be stated. For a partial score effect sizes maybe stated without justification. A score of no is given if the effect sizes are not stated.

CONSORT and Criminal Justice Trials (CJT) project

Reviewer coding sheet

ORIGINAL CONSORT QUESTION(S)	Reviewer Agreement (yes/No)	OUTCOMES continued: GUIDANCE NOTES FROM CONSORT	Reported (reviewer please indicate)	Notes from reviewers
		38b. <i>If no to question 38a, is there enough information presented in order to work out the effect size? (this includes means, Z scores, SD, confidence intervals, coefficients)</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5) NOT APPLICABLE	Note: to score yes all required elements must be stated to enable the appropriate calculation (e.g., mean, SD and Ns pre and post for both groups). For a partial score some of the elements are presented but not enough to make the appropriate calculation. A score of no is given if none of the elements needed to calculate effect sizes are provided.
Q18 Addresses the multiplicity by reporting any analyses performed including sub group analyses and adjusted analyses indication those pre specified and those exploratory		39. Subgroup analyses <i>The authors clearly report on any other analyses performed including subgroup/adjusted analyses</i> <i>(Post-hoc subgroup analyses is not encouraged, the authors need to specify which subgroups were analysed and why)</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5) NOT APPLICABLE	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about subgroup analyses with reasons given A partial score may provide some partial information of subgroup analyses but no reasons. A rating of not applicable is given when no subgroup analyses was performed. A score of no is given if information regarding sub-group analyses is not given.
Q19 All important adverse events of side effects in each intervention group		40. Adverse events (if applicable) <i>Did the authors clearly state any harm done to participants</i> <i>(e.g., increased criminal activity following the intervention or worse outcomes on any primary and secondary measures?)</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5) NOT APPLICABLE	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about any harm done to participants. A partial score may provide some partial information regarding adverse reactions to intervention. A rating of not applicable is given if the results of the trial show a positive outcome. A score of no is given if adverse events are not reported.
Q20 Interpretation of the results taking into account study hypothesis, sources of potential bias or		41. Relation to hypotheses <i>The authors clearly state the hypotheses predictions made prior to the start of the trial</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about the hypotheses for the trial. A partial score may provide some partial implicit information but no explicit statement of the hypotheses. A score of no is given if the hypotheses for the trial are not stated implicitly or explicitly.
imprecision and the dangers associated with multiplicity outcomes. (2 PART QUESTION)		42. Potential bias or confounding factors <i>Do the authors clearly state any methodological weaknesses or limitations of the study</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about the weaknesses. A partial score may provide some partial information regarding potential bias but it is not explicitly stated. A score of no is given if methodological weaknesses or criticisms are not stated or implicit.
Q21 General isability of the results		43. Generalisability <i>The authors clearly state the generalisability of the study</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about generalisability. A partial score may provide some partial information of generalisability but is not explicitly stated. A score of no is given if information regarding the generalisability of the study is not given or implicit.

CONSORT and Criminal Justice Trials (CJT) project

ORIGINAL CONSORT QUESTION(S)	Reviewer Agreement (yes/No)	OUTCOMES continued: GUIDANCE NOTES FROM CONSORT	Reported (reviewer please indicate)	Notes from reviewers
Q22 General interpretation of the results in current context and current evidence.		44. Interpretation of results in context of current evidence <i>The authors clearly state how the current findings fit in with the weight of evidence across other trials and studies</i>	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5)	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about the current findings with use of references. A partial score may provide some partial information about the current findings but provides no references. A score of no is given if no interpretation of the results in the context of current evidence is given.
NEW QUESTION (NOT ORIGINALLY IN CONSORT)		45. Did the authors develop and evaluate the intervention?	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5) NOT APPLICABLE	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about who developed and evaluated the intervention. A partial score may provide some implicit information. A rating of not applicable is when the intervention was not developed i.e. referral through a court process. A score of no is given if information regarding the development and evaluation of the intervention is not given.
NEW QUESTION (NOT ORIGINALLY IN CONSORT)		46. Did funders of the research have any conflict of interest with the outcome of the trial? (e.g., drug company funding their drug trial?)	YES (score 1) NO (score 0) PARTIAL (score 0.5) NOT APPLICABLE	Note: a score of yes provides an explicit statement about the funders. A partial score may provide some implicit information regarding who funded the trial. A not applicable is given for interventions such as court. A score of no is given if the funders of the research are not reported.